

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12441

Claimant:CALGARY BOARD OF EDUCATION,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12442

Claimant:CALGARY BOARD OF EDUCATION,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12443

Claimant:CALGARY BOARD OF EDUCATION,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12444

Claimant:CALGARY BOARD OF EDUCATION,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12445

Claimant:UNIVERSITY OF SASKATCHEWAN,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12446

Claimant:UNIVERSITY OF SASKATCHEWAN,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12447

Claimant:UNIVERSITY OF SASKATCHEWAN,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12448

Claimant:UNIVERSITY OF SASKATCHEWAN,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12449

Claimant:CALGARY BOARD OF EDUCATION,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12450

Claimant:CALGARY BOARD OF EDUCATION,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12451

Claimant:CALGARY BOARD OF EDUCATION,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12452

Claimant:CALGARY BOARD OF EDUCATION,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12453

Claimant:CALGARY BOARD OF EDUCATION,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12454

Claimant:CALGARY BOARD OF EDUCATION,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12455

Claimant:CALGARY BOARD OF EDUCATION,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12456

Claimant:CALGARY BOARD OF EDUCATION,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12457

Claimant:CALGARY BOARD OF EDUCATION,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12458

Claimant:CALGARY BOARD OF EDUCATION,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12459

Claimant:CALGARY BOARD OF EDUCATION,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12460

Claimant:CALGARY BOARD OF EDUCATION,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12461

Claimant:CALGARY BOARD OF EDUCATION,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12462

Claimant:CALGARY BOARD OF EDUCATION,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12463

Claimant:CALGARY BOARD OF EDUCATION,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12464

Claimant:CALGARY BOARD OF EDUCATION,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12465

Claimant:VANCOUVER BOARD OF PARKS AND RECREATION,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12466

Claimant:VANCOUVER BOARD OF PARKS AND RECREATION,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12467

Claimant:VANCOUVER BOARD OF PARKS AND RECREATION,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12468

Claimant:VANCOUVER BOARD OF PARKS AND RECREATION,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12469

Claimant:VANCOUVER BOARD OF PARKS AND RECREATION,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12470

Claimant:SASKATCHEWAN POWER CORPORATION,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12471

Claimant:TONKO REALTY ADVISORS LTD,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12472

Claimant:TELUS COMMUNICATIONS,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12473

Claimant:TELUS COMMUNICATIONS,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12474

Claimant:TELUS COMMUNICATIONS,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12475

Claimant:CITY OF VANCOUVER,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12476

Claimant:CITY OF VANCOUVER,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12477

Claimant:VANCOUVER BOARD OF PARKS AND RECREATION,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12478

Claimant:VANCOUVER BOARD OF PARKS AND RECREATION,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12479

Claimant:VANCOUVER BOARD OF PARKS AND RECREATION,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12480

Claimant:VANCOUVER BOARD OF PARKS AND RECREATION,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12481

Claimant:VANCOUVER BOARD OF PARKS AND RECREATION,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12482

Claimant:VANCOUVER BOARD OF PARKS AND RECREATION,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12483

Claimant:VANCOUVER BOARD OF PARKS AND RECREATION,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12484

Claimant:VANCOUVER BOARD OF PARKS AND RECREATION,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12485

Claimant:EDMONTON PUBLIC SCHOOLS,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12486

Claimant:EDMONTON PUBLIC SCHOOLS,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12487

Claimant:EDMONTON PUBLIC SCHOOLS,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12488

Claimant:EDMONTON PUBLIC SCHOOLS,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12489

Claimant:CITY OF EDMONTON,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12490

Claimant:ATLANTIC SHOPPING CENTRES LTD,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12492

Claimant:AVALON EAST SCHOOL BOARD,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12493

Claimant:HEALTH CARE CORPORATION OF ST.JOHN`S,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12494

Claimant:HEALTH CARE CORPORATION OF ST.JOHN`S,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12495

Claimant:EDMONTON PUBLIC SCHOOLS,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12496

Claimant:EDMONTON PUBLIC SCHOOLS,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12497

Claimant:EDMONTON PUBLIC SCHOOLS,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12498

Claimant:EDMONTON PUBLIC SCHOOLS,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12499

Claimant:EDMONTON PUBLIC SCHOOLS,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12500

Claimant:EDMONTON PUBLIC SCHOOLS,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12501

Claimant:EDMONTON PUBLIC SCHOOLS,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12502

Claimant:EDMONTON PUBLIC SCHOOLS,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12503

Claimant:EDMONTON PUBLIC SCHOOLS,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12504

Claimant:EDMONTON PUBLIC SCHOOLS,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12505

Claimant:BRITISH COLUMBIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12506

Claimant:BRITISH COLUMBIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12507

Claimant:BRITISH COLUMBIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12509

Claimant:SASKATCHEWAN PROPERTY MANAGEMENT CORPORA,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12510

Claimant:IPSCO INC,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm:SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number:12511

Claimant:IPSCO INC,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.